Response to Reviewer 3 Comments

The authors have worked hard on revising the article. The major issues highlighted in the previous round of review have been addressed. However, I suggest the authors add the following information to the article.

Point 1: Please add "what language was used for the survey questionnaire in data collection? and what measures were taken during the translation process?

Response 1: Thank you very much for your comments. We added the information regarding the used language and translation process in the measures section.

Point 2: It would add more value to the research if a table is added on the reliability of measures used in the questionnaire.

Response 2: We added the reliability for each questionnaire as table in measure section.

Point 3: following the rules of the Declaration of Helsinki of 1975, As the research included humans, and those below 18 years old, ethical approval (government institutions, schools, parents, etc.) should be added in the methods section.
https://www.mdpi.com/journal/sustainability/instructions#ethics
https://www.wma.net/what-we-do/medical-ethics/declaration-of-helsinki//

Response 3: The research included IRB statement as follows.
Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Ministry of Education of Korea (Research Project No. 20160466274; Approval Date: 1 May 2016).