Response to Reviewer 1 Comments

Point 1: The paper is well written, the argumentation is clear and the structure is consistent. Moreover, it describes an interesting topic that fits to the journal. However, I would need more information about the methodology, especially about the survey questionnaire. Where does this questionnaire come from? Was it developed by the authors? Was it tested? (how?) What were the items? If it is possible please add the questionnaire. Where the participants all from one school or different schools? How were they chosen?

Response 1: First of all, thank you very much for your comments. We added more information regarding the survey questionnaire development, reliability, and validity. Also we put the survey questionnaire as appendix at the end of the manuscript. Detailed information about participants was added in the 'participants' section.

Point 2: Other than this, I would suggest some small improvements:
Add some information about the Korean school system: We see that students have digital textbooks from 3rd grade on, but when do children start school, so how old are they when they are in 3rd grade? Also, how is the digital textbook used? Are there no analog books at all used in these classes? Who decides on the use of analog or digital books? How is it implemented?

Response 2: More information about the use of digitial textbook was added in the introduction and method section.

Point 3: Figure 3 is not readable, consider a different representation/format

Response 3: We separated the Figure 3 for social studies and science class. Then, we put the Figure number 3 & 4 respectively.

Point 4: Separate conclusion and discussion. In the discussion more references to the cited literature are needed."

Response 4: Conclusion and discussion were separated. Also, more references to the cited literature were added in the discussion.

Point 5: Delete the last paragraph: This would be a further discussion that you address here the first time in your paper. If you want to discuss the side effects, it should have been already part of the background.

Response 5: The contents of the side effects were supplemented and mentioned in both the background and the conclusion.