

Response to Reviewer 2

Comment 1 Authors proposed A bidirectional trust model and construct an explicit approach to address the issue of service delegation based on the trust model. The proposed work is interesting and innovative in the same time. Overall the paper looks good and can be accepted after suggested minor revision. As reviewer of this paper, my comments are as follow: 1. This paper is well-written and easy to follow. 2. This paper provides relatively comprehensive evaluations in various metrics, especially comparing the proposed model with existing models in terms of accuracy, precision, and recall measurements. 3. I suggest the authors to check the paper as the paper is having some grammatical mistakes and typo errors.

Response to comment 1 Thanks for recognizing our work, which we really appreciate a lot. We are sorry for the mistakes in our previous version. We have carefully checked and revised the typos and formatting errors in the new version.

Comment 2 Many abbreviations in the paper are referenced only once or twice, the authors are encouraged to reduce their use where possible to aid in legibility.

Response to comment 2 Thanks for the reviewer's suggestion. In the new version, we have remained some important abbreviations and reduced the unnecessary abbreviations for improving the legibility.

Comment 3 Authors should proofread entire manuscript for grammatical mistakes.

Response to comment 3 Thanks for the reviewer's kind remind and suggestion. We have carefully proofread the entire article and correct some grammatical mistakes for improving the quality of manuscript.

We thank the reviewer's good suggestion for the paper's improvement. We hope our comments answer the reviewer's concerns. We will gladly address any further issues that the reviewer deems necessary.