

View of Saudi Arabia strategy for water resources management at Bishah, Aseer Southern Region

Water Assessment

Hesham K. Fazel¹, Sayeda M. Abdo^{2*}, Atiah M. Elthagafi^{1,3}, Saad H. Eldosari³, Zhu Baoku^{4*} and Hosam M. Safaa^{5,6}

1. Department of Business Administration, College of Business, University of Bishah, P.O Box 67714, Bishah, Saudi Arabia
 2. Water Pollution Research Department, Environmental Research Division, National Research Center, Dokki, Giza 12622, Egypt
 3. Ministry of Environment, Water and Agriculture, Bishah Office, Aseer Region, KSA
 4. Department of Polymer Science and Engineering, Zhejiang University, China
 5. Department of Biology, College of Science, University of Bishah, P.O Box 67714, Bishah, Saudi Arabia
 6. Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza 12613, Egypt
- * Correspondence: Author: sayedamohammed2015@gmail.com

Citation: Bishah, U.o.; Bishah67714; Region, A.; KSA Hesham K. Fazel¹, Sayeda M. Abdo^{2*}, Atiah M. Elthagafi^{1,3}, Saad H. Eldosari³, Zhu Baoku^{4*} and Hosam M. Safaa^{5,6}. Sustainability 2022, volume number, x. <https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx>

Received: date

Accepted: date

Published: date

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract: Water quality management is critical for the preservation of freshwater resources in semi-arid and arid areas, which are necessary for long-term development. Local authorities and water resource managers can allocate resources for potable or agricultural needs based on the quality of water in various places. Fourteen water samples were collected and examined in this study. Microbiological, chemical and physical analysis were considered as important indicators for assessing water quality. Physical, chemical, and microbiological data were measured and evaluated as essential markers for determining water quality. A comparison was made between these characteristics and the King Saudi Water Standard (GSO149/2014). According to the findings, results of infiltration pond and Tabla Dam manifest the anthropogenic activities and natural influences of the greatest impact on water quality. Therefore, reliable assessment approach for assessing water quality is very important for decision makers and for sustainable development plans.

Keywords: development plans; water policies; drinking water; water wells; water sanitation

1. Introduction

By 2025, about 1.8 billion people living in regions or countries will face water shortage, as estimated by United Nations. In addition, two-thirds of the world's residents may face water stress [1,2]. Despite the fact that 99.84% of Saudis have access to drinking water [3], Saudi Arabia classified as one of the most water-scarce countries in the world. The absolute water scarcity is 500 m³ / per person, while the share of the individual in Saudi Arabia is 89 m³ / per capita. In addition, the Kingdom faces different water challenges at planning, operational and management levels, Saudi Arabia has a third of the highest consumption of

individual freshwater in the world. Daily consumption of water per capita increased from 227 liters in 2009 to 278 liters in 2018.

The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia has been relied on desalinated water since the 1950s and became the leading product of desalinated water in the world. About 7.6 million cubic meters is produced daily, accounting for 22% of global production in 2020. [4] However, this is enormous pressure on the environment and energy security.

Groundwater is considered the essential resource of drinking water supply in the world. In both the developed and developing countries, there has been a manifold increase in the use of groundwater among the populations in rural areas, as well as in the rapidly increase urban areas [5, 6, 7, 8]. Due to limited availability and deteriorated quality of surface water in the latter half of the twentieth century, groundwater usage grew rapidly in most countries of the world. Wherever groundwater is devoid of viruses and dangerous bacteria, groundwater quality has significant advantages over surface water. Furthermore, organic matter contents in ground water are lower [9].

Several anthropogenic fluxes, such as industrial waste discharge, pesticides, excessive use of fertilizers, gas and oil spillage, landfills and mine wastes have a substantial impact on groundwater quality. Clean-up is difficult and expensive once groundwater has been contaminated [10]. Groundwater quality status and related health concerns, as well as a study of the factors impacting groundwater quality, are essential for defining policies to protect and manage groundwater quality [11]. Groundwater improvement is considered a key option for solving gaps in action plans and providing flexibility to meet the consequences of climate change in both developed and developing nations [12, 13, 14]. Groundwater is already the preferred source of drinking water around the world [15]. Ground water typically regarded more reliable and accessible than surface water since it can be used directly by consumers [16].

Water management rules are critical for promoting the security of water sources, and establishing these necessitates for the collaboration of professionals, government officials, and the general public [17, 18, 19]. Furthermore, considering the effects on human health is the first step in ensuring long-term water quality management. In a loess location of north west China, a study assessed health and groundwater quality concerns from Cr+6 and NO-3 [20, 21].

The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA) water plan vision 2030, as well as the Bishah governorate (One of the southern governorates - Aseer district – KSA) as a model for developing the water system to combat population expansion. The plan's ultimate purpose is to close any gaps in water production, strategic storage, and treatment capacity by calculating the rate of population growth and water needs for the following nine years, then building projects appropriately.


2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sampling sites

Bishah is a town in the south-western Saudi Arabian province. Bishah was its own province before merging with its neighboring province, 'Aseer. Bishah has a population of 205,346 according to the 2010 Census [2] with nearly 240 villages and 58 larger settlements that are spread out on both sides of the Bishah Valley (the longest valley in the Arabian Peninsula). The city is located to the south of the Arabian Peninsula and located at $20^{\circ}0'0''\text{N}42^{\circ}36'0''\text{E}$. It stands at an altitude of approximately 610 meters (2,000 ft.) above sea level. The government of Saudi Arabia's strategic plan involves using a newly constructed dam to supply water to population centers.

King Fahad's dam is located on WadiBishah at a distance of 40 kilometres south of Bishah governorate. WadiBishah is considered as one of the biggest valleys in the Arabian Peninsula where its length exceeds 250 km up to the dam site. It runs from Aseer heights through Aseer hill. WadiBishah collects its flow from more than 100 tributaries; among those are wadiKhamisMshit, wadiAbha and others. WadiBishah continues after the dam towards the north along 200 kilometers where a number of tributaries flow into it, such as wadihurgab, Turuj and Tabalah, till its meet with WadiTathleeth forming one stream known as Wadi Al-Dawaser which extends as far as 200 kilometres and ends in Rumeila in Rub' Al Khali (Fig. 1).

Fig. (1) Bishah town map and sampling sites (locations)



Monthly water samples were collected from different sites which includes the network of the Bishah town and the extension of the surrounding sources and networks such as: **WadiBishah (1), King Fahd Lake Dam, Bishah Dam, Bishah Wells (1-5), Well Lake (1), Well Lake (2), Infiltration Pond, King Fahd Station Bishah, Bishah Tank, WadiBishah (2), Ashyab King Fahd Dam, WadiHergab and Tabala Dam (Pure Water).**

2.2. Water Analysis

Physical water quality parameters such as temperature, pH, turbidity, electric conductivity (EC) were measured at time of samples collection. Physical water quality parameters such as temperature (was measured on the site using mercury thermometer), pH (was measured using digital pH meter -Model Metrohm, pH Lab 827), turbidity (was measured by Nephelometer using NTU standards), electric conductivity (EC) (measured using Salinometer (Thermo Electron Corporation, model: Orion 150A+, USA) were measured at time of samples collection.

The water samples were stored in an ice box and then transported to the lab. Simultaneously, water samples were collected for microbiological analysis also, stored in icebox and the samples fixed by the addition of sodium thiosulphate crystals. Total Coliforms and E.coli were measured by Most Probable Number (MPN) method [23].

The standard analytical procedures described in APHA [23] were followed for chemical water samples analysis. Total dissolved solids (TDS), total alkalinity, Total hardness (TH), calcium hardness (Ca H) and magnesium hardness (Mg H), chloride, sulphate, ammonia, nitrite, nitrate, iron, manganese, fluoride were measured.

The digested water samples, were then analyzed for Lead (Pb), Cadmium (Cd), Chromium (Cr), Nickel (Ni), Copper (Cu), Cobalt (Co), Aluminum (Al), Arsenic (As), Selenium (Se), Barium (Ba), Beryllium (Be), Boron (B), Molybdenum (Mo), Vanadium (V), Lithium (Li), and Zinc (Zn) using a Flame Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer AAS model (Model: AA-6800 Shimadzu, Japan). The metal concentrations in each digested sample was determined by comparing their absorbance with aqueous calibration standard prepared from the stock standard solutions of the respective elements [23].

To prevent contamination, all materials associated with trace metal sampling and analyses were thoroughly acid cleaned before use. Glassware and Teflon vessels were treated in a solution 10% v/v nitric acid for 24 h and then washed with distilled and deionized water.

2.3. Statistical Data Analysis

The data was presented in tables as arithmetic means, and the standard deviation (SD) was computed.[24]. All statistical visualizations were performed by GraphPad Prism 8.3.0 (GraphPad, USA).

3. Results and Discussion

The Water Strategy 2030, which is in line with the new vision or strategic direction of the water sector, is responsible for the development of water policies in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The plan's ultimate goal is to fill any gaps in water production,

storage strategy, and treatment capabilities by analyzing supply and demand over the next seven years and planning future projects. As a result, the amount of future water needed to supply municipal water in the Aseer region (Fig. 2) is estimated to be around 449,000 m³/day (2030)




Fig. (2) Bishah population and water requirements till 2030

The renewable water resources, on the other hand in the Aseer region that can be exploited are assumed to be 109,000 m³/day (2015-2019). Therefore, a shortage of municipal water demand will arise in the planned target year only through the development of renewable water resources [25, 26]. The Ministry of Environment, Water and Agriculture [27] constructed a dam (King Fahad Dam) in the Bishah Valley to support agricultural activities in the region. The dam flow has also been redirected for drinking purposes due to the paucity of pure drinking water. Furthermore, efforts to deliver water must be accompanied by ensuring the quality of this water according to Standard levels of water quality traits according to WHO and GSO 149 (Table A). So, the mean results of the in-situ tests and laboratory analysis of water samples from 14 sampling sites in Bishah town along the period of 2006-2016 were shown in tables (1 & 2), Also, Figures(3 & 4) revealed minium, maximum and mean readings of tested samples.

Table (A) Standard levels of water quality traits according to WHO (2011a) and GSO 149 (2014)

Parameters	Unit	GSO [29]	WHO [28]
pH		6.5-8.5	6.5-8.5
Color		15	15
Turbidity	NTU	5	5
Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	mg/L	1000	1000
Total alkalinity (CaCO₃)	mg/L		
Total hardness (CaCO₃)	mg/L	500	500
Calcium hardness (CaCO₃)	mg/L		
Magnesium hardness (CaCO₃)	mg/L		
Calcium	mg/L		
Magnesium	mg/L		
Chloride	mg/L	250	250
Sulphate	mg/L	250	250
Ammonia	mg/L	1.5	1.5
Nitrite	mg/L	3	3
Nitrate	mg/L	50	50
Fluoride	mg/L	1.5	1.5
Iron	mg/L	0.3	0.3
Total coliform	MPN/100ml	-ve	-ve
<i>E. coli</i>	MPN/100ml	-ve	-ve

. Tables 1 and 2 and Figs. 1 and 2 show the findings of in-situ tests and lab analysis of water samples collected from 14 sampling locations in Bishah town from 2006 to 2016 (3 & 4). The pH levels in drinking water are in the range of 7-9.7 (mean=8.1), according to the specifications of drinking water quality requirements.

Table (1) Water quality parameters of Bishah water resources locations

Parameter, SD	Unit	Wadi Bishah (1)	King Fahd Lake Dam	Bishah Dam	Bishah Wells (1-5)	Well Lake (1)	Well Lake (2)	Infiltration Pond	King Fahd Station, Bisha	Bishah Tank	SD
pH		7.20	7.98	8.47	8.10	8.33	9.70	8.40	7.00	7.62	0.721
Color	Co/Pt unit	5	4	5	4	4	5	6	4	4	2.42
Turbidity	NTU	5.70	3.86	5.51	0.10	5.32	2.80	5.00	0.60	0.45	1.275
TDS	mg/L	716	391	334	495	386	202	450	535	367	225.1
Total alkalinity (CaCO ₃)	mg/L	141	80	111	165	110	81	119	124	138	44.3
Total hardness (CaCO ₃)	mg/L	351	207	160	221	155	131	157	218	224	83.3
Calcium hardness (CaCO ₃)	mg/L	275	132	112	168	124	63	109	164	156	60.7
Magnesium hardness (CaCO ₃)	mg/L	76	75	48	53	35	35	48	54	61	22.7
Calcium	mg/L	110	53	45	67	50	25	44	66	62	21.67
Magnesium	mg/L	18.3	17.9	11.5	12.7	8.4	8.4	11.5	13	15	5.43
Chloride	mg/L	192	104	63	84	114	33	84	102	77	47.2
Sulphate	mg/L	197	107	87	87	97	63	64	90	67	43.7
Ammonia	mg/L	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.34	0.20	0.02	0.17	0.01	0.01	0.082
Nitrite	mg/L	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.07	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.047
Nitrate	mg/L	0.44	0.24	0.30	0.18	0.25	0.87	0.43	0.33	0.31	2.65
Fluoride	mg/L	0.73	1.10	1.97	3.00	1.40	0.73	9.00	3.00	0.98	0.105
Iron	mg/L	0.08	0.04	0.09	0.04	0.04	0.10	0.12	0.07	0.04	0.044
Total coliform	MPN/100ml	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	+ve	-ve	-ve	
<i>E. coli</i>	MPN/100ml	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	+ve	-ve	-ve	

Means are for samples collected during the period of the study (2006-2015), 30 samples per parameter/location/year. Since 2016, AlWajid drinking water system was applied and these resources were used as alternative ones. SD = Standard deviation; TDS = Total Dissolved Solids.




Fig. (3”a, b & c”) Water quality parameters (maximum, minimum and mean values) of Bishah water resources

Table (2) Water quality parameters of Bishah water resources' locations feed other water

supply lines

Parameter	Unit	Wadi Bishah (2)	Bishah Tank	Ashyab King Fahd Dam	Wadi Hergab	Tabala Dam (Pure Water)	SD
pH		8.23	7.62	8.11	7.90	8.20	0.656
Color	Co/Pt unit	4	4	7	7	4	2.42
Turbidity	NTU	4.54	0.45	0.84	5.30	7.82	1.275
TDS	mg/L	564	367	469	140	129	125.1
Total alkalinity (CaCO ₃)	mg/L	112	138	155	64	88	44.3
Total hardness (CaCO ₃)	mg/L	316	224	272	102	96	76.3
Calcium hardness (CaCO ₃)	mg/L	254	156	228	88	85	59.7
Magnesium hardness (CaCO ₃)	mg/L	63	61	44	14	11	22.7
Calcium	mg/L	102	62	91	35	34	23.67
Magnesium	mg/L	15.1	14.7	10.6	3.4	2.6	5.33
Chloride	mg/L	148	77	124	15	8	47.2
Sulphate	mg/L	180	67	132	22	12	47.7
Ammonia	mg/L	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.19	0.19	0.091
Nitrite	mg/L	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.052
Nitrate	mg/L	0.36	0.31	0.26	0.13	0.21	2.73
Fluoride	mg/L	0.43	0.98	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.108
Iron	mg/L	0.09	0.04	0.02	0.17	0.26	0.053
Total coliform	MPN/100ml	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	+ve	
<i>E. coli</i>	MPN/100ml	-ve	-ve	-ve	-ve	+ve	

Values are means of 30 collected samples each per parameter / location. SD = Standard deviation; TDS = Total Dissolved Solids




Fig. (4”a, b & c”)Water quality parameters (maximum, minimum and mean value) of Bishah water resources feed other water supply lines

Figures 3 and 4 show the minimum, lowest, mean value, and standard division for each parameter pH=9.7 was observed in samples taken from the Well lake (2) sampling site, above the WHO [28] and GSO149 [29], pH requirements (6.5-8.5). pH is significant for the reason that it influences a number of solubility and geochemical reactions in groundwater.

Furthermore, in a treatment plant, pH is an important operational parameter. For chemical treatments including coagulation, disinfection, softening, and corrosion control, the pH must be kept within a safe range. Failure to keep corrosion to a minimum can lead to contamination of drinking water and aesthetics [30].

In addition to turbidity and odor, which are routinely examined, the color of potable water is one of the aesthetic quality characteristics [31].

Drinking water should, in theory, be colorless. The presence of colored organic matter (mainly fulvic and humic acids), iron and other metals, in addition to contamination of the water supply with industrial effluents can all cause color in drinking water.

Accordingly, all sites under investigation meet the standards of the WHO (2017) where all reading under the permissible level with maximum, minimum and mean value reached to 3.6, 7 and 4.8 (SD ± 1.24) Co/Pt unite (Figs. 3 & 4a).

The turbidity of high-quality drinking water should be minimal. GSO149 [29] recommends a maximum allowed turbidity of less than 5 NTU for drinking water. A variety of samples collected from various sampling locations exhibited turbidity values ranging from 0.1 to 7.82 NTU. The turbidity value in five areas investigated (Tables 1 & 2) exceeded the allowed limits (5 out of 14). For aesthetic reasons turbidity level in drinking water is significant as well as treatment plant performance, as extreme turbidity can shield harmful germs from disinfectant actions, making water filtration more difficult and expensive [30, 32].

There are no actual health requirements for main ions or TDS in drinking water, however high amounts of calcium and magnesium can cause scaling, and excessive salinity might affect odour and taste [33, 34]. The TDS levels ranged from 129 to 716 mg/L, with a mean value of 98 mg/L. Collected samples are acceptable for human health, according to TDS standard limits (1000 mg/L) [35]. Furthermore, drinking water quality can be classified as excellent (300 mg/L), good (300–600 mg/L), fair (600–900 mg/L), poor (900–1200 mg/L), and undesirable (> 1200 mg/L) based on TDS [28].

Ca²⁺ deficiency in the human body causes a variety of illnesses, including stroke, osteoporosis, and colorectal cancer. High Mg²⁺ content in drinking water serve as a laxative [28, 35]. The calcium and magnesium hardness concentrations in this study are within acceptable limits (Table 1 & 2). Furthermore, bicarbonate concentrations (reported as total alkalinity as CaCO₃) ranged from 64 to 165 mg/L. In addition, total hardness concentration as CaCO₃ varies from 96–351 mg/L in studied locations, with a mean value of 200.7 mg/L.

All TH, Ca H, and Mg H levels are within the WHO's [36] and GSO149 [29] recommended tolerable limits of 500, 350, and 150 mg/L, respectively. The water quality of all samples is classified as freshwater (TDS) or very hard water [37, 38]. Hard water also is not a health hazard below the permissible level, although it can influence the acceptability of drinking water [28] by causing scale deposition in heated water applications and in water distribution system [36].

The anion chloride (Cl) and sulphate (SO₄) are two of the most frequent anions found in water supplies. The concentration of sulphate in the locations examined ranges from 12 to 197 mg/L. These levels were well below maximum permissible limit (250 mg/L, according to WHO [28] and GSO149 [29]. Sulphate is not a health hazard in drinking water at the maximum permitted range [28], although it can have a laxative effect at high levels, leading to intestinal pain and dehydration.

In addition, the concentration of chloride ion (Cl) ranges from 8 to 192 mg/L.

The maximum permitted chloride concentration in drinking water is 250 mg/L.

Chlorine and sulphate levels are all within the acceptable range. Furthermore, the mean value and standard deviation were respectively 88.31 51.99 and 92.65.4. (Figs. 3 & 4). Furthermore, people are unaffected by chloride. However, at concentrations greater than 250 mg/L, it imparts a salty flavor of water, which many people dislike [28].

Excessive concentrations of chloride can also affect metal corrosion in water distribution system pipes, potentially raising metal concentrations in drinking water [39]. Furthermore, an increase of chloride concentration in groundwater is commonly used as a measure of contamination [40].

High levels of ammonia, nitrite, and nitrate are undesirable in drinking water because they provide health risk, particularly to pregnant women and infants. Additionally, groundwater pollution are primarily caused by ammonia and nitrate, such as manure leaching or fertilizer, septic tank leaks, wastewater disposal and so on. The presence of high concentration of ammonia and nitrite is a signal for the existence of other dangerous contaminants such as bacteria or pesticides [41, 42, 43, 44]. Ammonia (0.0-0.34), nitrite (0.01-0.07) and nitrate (0.13-0.87) concentrations are in the allowable range of WHO [39] and GSO149 [29] (Tables 1 & 2). The mean values of ammonia, nitrite and nitrate are in the range of 0.018 to 0.33 (Figs. 3 & 4) with standard deviation range of ± 0.018 -0.185.

Fluoride (F⁻) at low concentration is necessary for human health, but causes endemic fluorosis (dental and skeletal) at a high levels and damage to the soft tissues (kidney, liver, lung, testis, etc.) [45, 46]. The studied locations samples revealed fluoride concentration in the range of 0.43 to 9 mg/L. Since 4 of 14 sample locations (namely Bishah dam, Bishah wells, Infiltration pond and king Fahd station) out of the standard permissible concentration (1.5mg/L WHO [28] GSO149 [29]). Iron level is obtained in the range from 0.04-0.26 with mean value of 0.089 ± 0.066 .

Heavy metals in drinking water is a threat to human health considering their strong toxicity even at very low concentration. Accumulation of few trace elements in the human body may induce cancer [47]. At higher doses, it can cause irreversible brain damage and in extreme cases, death [48].

Adverse effect and toxicity level depends on heavy metal species and its concentration. The adverse effects include development of autoimmunity, nervous system damage, reduced growth and kidney or liver damage. The mean concentration (mg/L) of the trace elements in descending order was as follows: Be (0.425)>B (0.395)> Al (0.119)>Ba (0.043)> Zn (0.007)>V (0.005)>Mo & Se (0.004)> Cu & Pb (0.003)> Cr & As (0.002)> Cd (0.00). All trace elements not exceeded the allowable limits of (WHO [28], GSO149 [29]).

Escherichia coli (E. coli) is a gram- negative bacteria live in the intestine of warm-blooded animals. To verify water quality and insure there is no biological contamination E. coli is used as an indicator. Detection of E. coli in drinking water indicates water has been contaminated with feces containing pathogens. Pathogens can cause many diseases including cholera, typhoid, viral hepatitis A, diarrhea and dysentery [28]. Count of E.coli exceeded maximum allowable limit in 2 locations of 14 studied sites (namly infiltration pond (table 1) and tabala dam (table 2)) .

The result assessment indicated that with regard to the physico-chemical character of water collected from sampling sites under investigation 10 locations are not comply with WHO 2011 and GSO149/2014. More specifically, 1 site mismatch due to the pH value (well lake 2), 5 sites mismatches due to turbidity ((WadiBishah (1), Bishah Dam, well lake (1), WadiHergab and Tabala Dam) and 4 sites mismatches due to high fluoride concentrations (Bishah Dam, Bishah wells, infiltration pond and King Fahd Station). Thus, from the microbiological perspective, 2sites (infiltration pond and Tabala Dam) are not comply with the WHO 2011 and GSO149/2014.

Table (3) Trace elements composition of water in the study region according to standards

Trace elements	Min.	Max.	Mean	SD	GSO [29]	WHO [28]
Al	0.035	0.200	0.119	0.058	0.200	0.200
Cr	0.001	0.050	0.002	0.015	0.050	0.050
As	0.001	0.010	0.002	0.003	0.010	0.010
Se	0.001	0.100	0.004	0.030	0.010	0.010
Zn	0.001	3.000	0.007	0.947	3.000	3.000
Cd	0.001	0.003	0.000	0.001	0.003	0.003
Ba	0.032	0.700	0.043	0.208	0.700	1.300
Pb	0.001	0.010	0.003	0.003	0.010	0.010
B	0.286	0.500	0.395	0.069	0.500	2.400
Mo	0.002	0.070	0.004	0.021	0.070	0.070
Cu	0.001	1.000	0.003	0.315	2.000	2.000
Ni	0.009	0.167	0.033	0.048	0.070	0.070

Means are of 30 collected samples each per parameter. Min. = Minimum; Max. = Maximum; SD = Standard deviation

4. Conclusion

The government of Saudi Arabia's strategic plan involves using a newly constructed dam to supply water to population centers rather than to irrigate farms. The Ministry of Agriculture and Water was concerned about the Bishah area's dependence on groundwater and decided to construct a dam in the Bishah Valley to support agricultural activities and clean drinking water in the region. The study involved fourteen water samples were collected and analyzed into physical, chemical and microbiological parameters which were considered as important indicators for assessing water quality. In addition, the investigation highlights that the groundwater in Bishah governorate network and the extension to the surrounding networks provided significant relevance of water quality be affected by multiple factors, among which the quality of source water is the most significant one. In addition, the conclusions of this research are all physical, chemical and microbiological characters of water samples are in complying to the standard of the WHO and GSO149. Although that, some samples are not in the allowable levels of national and international standards. Therefore, the study recommends the need for regular monitoring of groundwater levels and adopting appropriate measures to overcome pollution and facility sustainable groundwater quality. The study recommends continuous monitoring of the groundwater level (in terms of quantity and quality) in this southern governorate of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, and this is mentioned at the end of the conclusion section.

Data Availability Statement:

The datasets used and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgement

The authors extend their appreciation to the Deputyship for Research and Innovation, Ministry of Education in Saudi Arabia for funding this research work through the project number (UB-06-1442)

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. E.E.A., European Environment Agency, Climate change and water adaptation issues. EEA Technical report No 2/2007.

2. UN-Water, World Water Development Report No. 3: Water in a Changing World. World Water Assessment Programme (2009), UNESCO, Paris. 241
242
3. General Authority of Statistics, 2019. Statistical indicators for sustainable development goals. 243
4. US-Saudi Business Council, 2021. Water in Saudi Arabia: Desalination, wastewater and privatization. United Nations World Water Assessment Programme, UNESCO. 2009. 244
245
5. M. Wang, Y. Xu, S. Pan, Long-term heavy metal pollution and mortality in a Chinese population: an ecologic study. Biol. Trace Elem. Res. (2010) 1–18. 246
247
6. WHO, World Health Organization (WHO). Drinking-water. World Health Organization fact sheets. 2018. (accessed December 20, 2019). 248
7. P. Li, and J. Wu, Drinking Water Quality and Public Health. Exposure and Health, 11(2019) 73–79. 249
8. United Nations. Energy Statistics Yearbook. United Nations Department for Economic and Social Information and Policy Analysis, Statistics Division, 2009. 2007 New York. 250
251
9. H. F. Solossa and Y. Yulfiah. Mapping groundwater hardness in wells as a source of drinking water for the people. Journal of Applied Sciences, Management and Engineering Technology, 1: 2 (2020) 33–39. 252
253
10. N. Carrard, T. Foster and J. Willetts, Groundwater as a Source of Drinking Water in Southeast Asia and the Pacific: A Multi-Country Review of Current Reliance and Resource Concerns. J. Water 11, 2019, 1605. 254
255
11. P. Levallois, and C. M. Villanueva, Drinking Water Quality and Human Health: An Editorial. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 16, 2019, 63. 256
257
12. WHO. World Malaria Report. Geneva: World Health Organization (WHO), 2018. 258
13. G. Howard, R. Calow, A. Macdonald, J. Bartram. Climate change and water and sanitation: Likely impacts and emerging trends for action. Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour., 41 (2016), 253–276. 259
260
14. M. Velis, K.I. Conti, F. Biermann. Groundwater and human development: Synergies and trade-offs within the context of the sustainable development goals. Sustain. Sci., 12 (2017) 1007–1017. 261
262
15. L. Guppy, P. Uytendaele, K.G. Villholth, V. Smakhtin. Groundwater and Sustainable Development Goals: Analysis of Inter linkages; UNU-INWEH Report Series, Issue 04; United Nations University Institute for Water; Environment and Health: Hamilton, ON, Canada (2018). 263
264
265
16. J. Margat, J. van der Gun. Groundwater Around the World: A Geographic Synopsis; CRC Press Taylor & Francis Group: Boca Raton, FL, USA, (2013) 376p. 266
267
17. M. Rusca, A. S. Boakye-Ansah, A. Loftus, G. Ferrero, P. Van der Zaag. An interdisciplinary political ecology of drinking water quality. Exploring socio-ecological inequalities in Lilongwe’s water supply network. Geoforum 84 (2017) 138–146. 268
269
18. M. A. Javed, A. Paul, T. K. Nath. Peoples’ perception of the water salinity impacts on human health: a case study in south-eastern coastal region of Bangladesh. Exposure and health. 12(1) (2020) 41–50. 270
271
19. N. C. Dey, M. Parvez, R. Saha, M. R. Islam, T. Akter, M. Rahman, M. Barua, A. Islam, Water quality and willingness to pay for safe drinking water in Tala Upazila in a coastal district of Bangladesh. Expo Health. 11(4), (2019) 297–310. 272
273
20. P. Li and H. Qian. Water resources research to support a sustainable China. Int J Water Res 34(3), (2018b) 327–336. 274
21. P. Li, W. Feng, C. Xue, R. Tian, S. Wang. Spatiotemporal variability of contaminants in lake water and their risks to human health: a case study of the Shahu Lake tourist area, northwest China. Expo. Health 9(3) (2017b) 213–225. 275
276
22. CDSI, Central Department of Statistics & Information, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, (2010). 277
23. APHA, Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 23rd Edition, APHA, AWWA, WEF, Publication Office, APHA, 800/ Street, NW, Washington, DC 20001 (2017). 278
279
24. SAS (2004). Statistical Analysis System (SAS) User’s Guide: Statistics (Cary, NC, SAS Institute Inc.). 280
25. GAS. General Authority for Statistics, Saudi Arabia, Population Characteristics surveys. (2017). 281
26. MEWA (Ministry of Environment, Water and Agriculture). Annual report. Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, (2017). 282

27. MEWA (Ministry of Environment, Water and Agriculture) The Study on Master Plan on Renewable Water Resources Development in the Southwest Region in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (2013). 283
284
28. WHO G. Guidelines for drinking-water quality. World Health Organization. 16 (2011a), 216:303-4. 285
29. GSO, GCC Standardization Organization (GSO). Unbottled drinking water, Standard.No. 149/2000, (2014). 286
30. M. N. Ibrahim. Assessing Groundwater Quality for Drinking Purpose in Jordan: Application of Water Quality Index. Journal of Ecological Engineering, 20 (3) (2019), 101–111. 287
288
31. L. Bennett, M. Drikas. The evaluation of colour in natural waters. Wat. Res. 27(1993) 1209-1218. 289
32. World Health Organization. "Guidelines for drinking-water quality: first addendum to the fourth edition." (2017). 290
33. A. M. Aish, Drinking water quality assessment of the Middle Governorate in the Gaza Strip, Palestine. Water Resour. Ind., 4 (2013) 13–20. 291
34. M. Abbas, M. Barbieri, M. Battistel, G. Brattini, A. Garone, B. Parisse, Water quality in the Gaza Strip: The present scenario. J. Water Resour. Prot., 5 (2013) 54–63. 292
293
35. N. Adimalla, P. Li, H. Qian. Evaluation of groundwater contamination for fluoride and nitrate in semi-arid region of Nirmal Province, South India: a special emphasis on human health risk assessment (HHRA). Hum Ecol Risk Assess 25(5) (2017) 1107–1124. 294
295
36. WHO G. Guidelines for drinking-water quality. World Health Organization. 16 (2011b) 216:303-4. 296
37. C. N. Sawyer, P. L. McCarty, Chemistry for sanitary engineer (1967). 297
38. D.K. Todd, L. W. Mays, Groundwater hydrology. John Wiley & Sons; 2004. 298
39. WHO N. nitrite in drinking-water. Background document for preparation of WHO Guidelines for drinking water quality. World Health Organization, Geneva. (2011b). 299
300
40. M. Loizidou , E. G. Kapetanios. Effect of leachate from landfills on underground water quality. Science of the Total Environment. 15 (1993) 128(1):69-81. 301
302
41. B. Shomar. Groundwater of the Gaza Strip: Is it drinkable? Environ. Geol., 50(2006) 743–751. 303
42. J. Quan. Status of fertilizer application in Weinan City and counter measures to achieve zero growth. AgricSciTechnol News lett 3 (2018) 39–40. 304
305
43. Q. Duan, J. Jiao, X. Chen, X. Wang. Association between waterfluoride and the level of children’s intelligence: a dose–response meta-analysis. Public Health 154 (2018) 87–97. 306
307
44. S. Y. Ganyaglo, A. Gibrilla, E. M. Teye, E. D. G. J. Owusu , S. Tettey, P. Y. Diabene, S. Asimah. Ground water fluoride contamination and probabilistic health risk assessment in fluoride endemic areas of the Upper East Region, Ghana. Chemosphere233 (2019) 862–872. 308
309
45. G. Li, H. Qian, X. Zhang, S. Guo, M. Zhang. Investigation and analysis of drinking fluorosis in Weinan City. Chin J Endemio 128 (2009) 68–69. 310
311
46. R. Liu. Study on transference and transform simulation of fluoride in groundwater and relation between fluoride and human body health in Dali Region, Guanzhong Basin. Chang’an University, Xi’an (2009). 312
313
47. S. Chowdhury, M. A. J. Mazumder, O. Al-Attas, T. Husain. Heavy metals in drinking water: Occurrences, implications, and future needs in developing countries. Science of The Total Environment, 569–570 (2016) 476–488. 314
315
48. M. A. Barakat. New trends in removing heavy metals from industrial wastewater. Arabian Journal of Chemistry, 4(4) (2011) 361–377. 316
317

318