Response to Reviewer 3 Comments

Reviewer 3	Response	Changes in Text
It may improve the introduction to add discussion on the efficacy of postal pharmacy dispensing in the context of the existing literature on the topic.	We described the current understanding of the relationship between medication delivery and medication adherence with a reference to a systematic review study.	"Furthermore, a systematic review demonstrated that most of the current studies show that mail-order pharmacies in the United States are associated with a higher medication adherence [10]. However, there is limited study on the efficacy of the medication delivery in the context of PLHIV during the Australian COVID-19 pandemic." (See lines 61 – 65)
It cannot be discerned with this study design if postal delivery had effects on ART compliance or was simply convenient for study participants.	We mentioned this as part of the limitations of this study	"Sixth, although the proportion of ART delivered by postage increased and the MPR and viral load were not negatively affected, the direct causal relation between the study variables was not evaluated in this study."(See lines 262 – 264)
While the manuscript is nicely prepared and comprehensive, given the shortcoming of novel findings, it may be more impactful to reduce the content to the form of a brief report.	Thank you for your comment. As per the journal requirement, there are only three different types of manuscript: articles, reviews and case reports. For the requirement for articles, this is no option for a brief report and one of the instructions to authors is "The quality and impact of the study will be considered during peer review. Articles should have a main text of around 3000 words at minimum." Therefore, we would like to keep the current format and have not reduced the content to a brief report.	