

I believe that the research work is done and is valid; I congratulate the authors for this. However, before its publication, the document requires changes in its wording in order for it to be publishable.

1. As a whole, the article should be the subject of a slow reading and review in order to synthesize it.
2. In this editorial review exercise, the use of the third person plural must be corrected (some examples: lines 90 and 468).
3. They should review the titles and sources of all figures and tables. The best example of this is the figure included in line 707 where both are missing.
4. Despite the length of the article, the conclusions are very scarce; perhaps as a consequence of the length of the discussion heading. The drafting of these conclusions must be reoriented and matured; It is not correct to include citations to other authors in this section. The question that ends this section is not understood. Finally, they should include a paragraph that refers to the possible limitations of their study.