

Response to Reviewer 2 Comments

Point 1: The authors have improved the revised version of the manuscript as per the revision comments. I believe most of the comments were addressed as required. However, the authors have simply stated the fact that their results are better than the cited literature in Table 2 and the explanation is void of any scientific reasoning. I want the authors to provide the scientific reasoning to the claims for readers understanding. The rest of the paper is fine.

Response 1: Dear reviewer, thanks for your patient review and valuable suggestions. In response to your suggestions, we improved Table 2. In addition, we have added a detailed discussion to the data in Table 2 to facilitate readers' understanding.