

Reviewer Comments and Response

I would like to thank the review and editorial team for the comments and contributions. I believe that the comments have allowed me to improve on the quality of the manuscript.

Reviewer 4	
The title, abstract and key words are suitable. The article is clear and legible and suitable in terms of its structure. The theme of the title is relevant and it seems that the authors have made an important analysis.	Thank you.
Congratulations. I think this paper presents an interesting study about sustainable development in the hotel and hospitality industry. The article is really well written, structured, easy to read and the references don't disturb the reading process. The length is another positive element; straight to the point, with enough evidences.	Thank you.
The review of the literature is suitable. References are present and most of them are in English. It provides interesting information. In literature review part, you collect previous relevant researches about this issue and it supports the relations among variables.	Thank you.
The methodology applied is consistent. It shows in which authors or models are based to measure these variables and how to contribute to analyze	Thank you!

of the progress made in SDG localisation by Zimbabwe and Botswana's hotel industry.	
The discussion of results manages to present the contribution of results to the theoretical development of the field, the possible limitations of the study, and the directions of future research.	This has been done as suggested see additions in relevant sections highlighted in blue.
Please be more specific regarding contribution of the paper and add scientific contribution in abstract.	Done as requested. Thank you.
The authors should also seek for the assistance of a native English speaker, or at least double check their article for errors.	The paper was sent out for English Language editing as requested.