

Response to Reviewer One Comments

S. No	Reviewer Comment	Author Response
1	The overall structure of the paper has improved now, though I still feel that there is much you can do to further enhance the quality of your paper. First, the quality of written English is low; thus, I ask for a major revision in this regard.	Thanks for the comment and sorry we could not meet your expectation. The paper is sent again for proof read.
2	Also, some comments are left unaddressed! for instance, in the research methodology section, you should start with an initial sentence, e.g., "the methodology of this research consists of X stages", then you can start counting your stages.	Yes, we could not get this point. Yes, the methodology is revised as suggested.
3	Plus, I want you to further expand on your Recommendations and Suggestions section by discussing the limitations (e.g., assumptions) of your study (I see that you deleted this section).	Good suggestion. The additional information is added in the paper as suggested.
4	In this section, you may also have a discussion on the importance of embodied energy that has not been considered in your study (i.e., you can use this paper: Application of Life Cycle Energy Assessment in Residential Buildings: A Critical Review of Recent Trends - to develop your discussion). In this section, you may draw on the future direction of your study by highlighting the limitations of your research.	Good suggestion. The additional details are added in the paper and future directions are also added in paper. The paper is also cited as it is very good and close to the study.