

Review of the article: Performance of wood coatings in Western Oregon for exterior

The article has been significantly improved, but still requires some corrections.

Introduction

Line 143: I found that literature item 3 should be cited as: Laskowska et al.

Line 178: Please be consistent and use the past tense as in the sentence 174.

2. Materials and Methods

Line 192, 193: In the literature on the subject, we use the following terms: tangential, radial cross-section. Please verify this and make appropriate corrections.

Results

Table 2: These are the mean results for the tested variants? If so, standard deviations should be given. In Figure 3. Plots of mean and standard deviation of ΔE ... you wrote.

Discussion

Line 541, 544: biological attack - Delete this stated as it has not been studied in the work.

Lines 257 - 260: *No attempt was made to evaluate differences between species at each interval as this would not address the question often asked by practitioners, i.e., given a species, which coating will provide the longest color protection?*

This sentence contradicts what is written below. Please verify the statements.

Lines 638 - 639: *However, no single coating was uniformly protective on all the wood tested nor was any coating completely effective over 18 months of exposure.*