

Response to Reviewer 3 Comments

We are grateful to reviewers for the critical comments and useful suggestions that have helped us to improve our paper considerably. As indicated in the following responses, we have incorporated all their comments into the revised version of our paper.

Point 1: INTRODUCTION.

In general, the introduction is too short. More detailed information on falls in older adults should be included to understand the problem and the purpose of the study.

Response 1: We have revised it according to the reviewer's comments. (L 31-58)

Point 2: METHODS.

The data presented in this section are clear and concise. However, I believe that the authors can add relevant information to improve the research design.

Response 2: Thanks for the comment. Data retrieval strategies have been added to the Data selected and Appendix. (L 69-86, 575-593)

Point 3: RESULTS & DISCUSSION

In this section, the expression appears repeatedly: “Error! Ref-105 reference source not found”. Please, restate.

Response 3: Thanks for your comment. We have revised it according to the reviewer's comments. (L 103, 108, 136, 142, 177, 181, 189, 282)

Point 4: CONCLUSION.

In general, it would be necessary to add the clinical impact of the data collected in this work. It appears that the authors did the study well but did not answer any clinical questions with their work.

Response 4: We have revised it according to the reviewer's comments. (L 396-412)

Point 5: REFERENCES.

Please review this section. It must have homogeneity.

Response 5: We revised it according to the reviewer's comments. (L 421-572)