The paper under review appears as interesting and relates to a current topic. It can be considered for publication, but some improvements should be considered. To be precise:

1.The authors refer to the 4M approach. It would be logical to more clearly structure the problems for these traditional jobs' classification " Machine, tools and labor ". And, accordingly, for the tasks that the described intelligent system solves.

2. The Authors write that 40 people of different categories took part in the experiment (by age, gender, and anthropological data), but it is not clear what errors are typical for each of these categories in the traditional workplace?

3. The approaches of the authors is certainly interesting and relevant. The authors cite to the researchers who have contributed to the development of intelligent systems. But it is not clear from the article whether there are practical analogues of this intelligent algorithm?

4. What do the cost of installing jobs of the intelligent systems? How much do the costs of implementing smart systems exceed the costs caused by a build failure?

In conclusion, I would like to thank the authors for a very interesting, unique and potentially important paper. Hope these comments and suggestions can help further their study.

Good luck with your paper,

Reviewer

Date of the review

14 Nov 2020