Answer to the Reviewer 3

We would like to thank the Reviewer for the effort put into checking the manuscript and for his valuable comments and apologize for the formal mistakes arisen during the submission of manuscript.

1. „You do have several formatting issues (section numbering, inconsistent font etc.) that need to be resolved during the revision process. The figure captions are not the format in the template provided. Discussion section should be 3 and 1. References need to be in the correct format as stated in the template”.

According to the Reviewer suggestion we correct the formal issues of our manuscript, i.e. the references, figure captions and section numbering.

2. „On Page 2 you say "make the membrane separation process is not within easy." This is poorly phrased and the meaning is lost. Please rephrase”.

According to the Reviewer suggestion we have rewritten the mentioned sentence in the following manner: „Problems in ethanol dehydration result from the similarity of water and ethanol molecules’ physical properties, especially polarity, making the membrane separation process challenging”.