This manuscript investigates the influences of the DLP 3D printing process on the characteristics of the flat and cylindrical surfaces. From reading the abstract, it is so vague to understand the motivation behind this study and its key findings. The authors claimed that the deformation of the flat surface decreased to an optimal point between 50 and 55%. However, the authors have not provided any reference to support their claim/statement regarding the optimal range.

In this manuscript, there are 70 figures and 9 tables, which is unusual for a peer reviewed article. Reading the manuscript sounds more like a lab report, rather than a well-organized article. The authors tried to divide the content of the manuscript into two different sections, which even made it more complicated. There are numerous grammatical mistakes and formatting issues that need to be corrected. I suggest authors go through the manuscript and try to summarize the key points to capture the reader's attentions. Most of the figures and data presented in the tables should be in the supporting information. Most of the results and discussions can be summarized into shorter paragraphs.